Seed dispersal but not seed germination facilitated by seabirds: seed ecology of Cook’s scurvy grass
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**Abstract:** *Lepidium oleraceum* (Brassicaceae) is a threatened New Zealand plant closely associated with seabird colony environments. We hypothesise that this spatial coincidence arises from seabird dispersal of *L. oleraceum* seed and/or guano stimulating germination. To test the possibility of seabird epizoochory, we used laboratory studies to examine *L. oleraceum* seed adhesion and tolerance to seawater immersion. To test for stimulation of germination by guano we measured seed germination in increasing concentrations of seabird guano or inorganic fertiliser (to separate a nutrient effect from a possible effect from some other unknown constituent). Seeds developed a sticky mucilage layer after 50 seconds in freshwater and 50% were able to adhere to dry paper for over 24 months. A higher proportion of seeds germinated after soaking in freshwater (91% ± 3.1%) than seawater (44% ± 4.9%), and seawater germination was comparable to unsoaked controls (46% ± 3.1%). A comparison of germination rates under different concentrations of guano and fertiliser showed no significant differences associated with treatments except germination was inhibited above 1 g NL\textsuperscript{-1}. We conclude that seabirds are probable dispersers of *L. oleraceum* seed through external attachment, but germination is not promoted by guano presence.
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**Introduction**

Differences in seed dispersal mechanisms and germination characteristics are important filters for species recruitment in different habitats (Van der Valk 1981; George & Bazzaz 1999; Ribeiro & Borghetti 2014). Recruitment can be influenced by site characteristics positively or negatively. Increased recruitment often occurs through site characteristics that allow directed seed dispersal (e.g. Heinken et al. 2006) or promote germination success (George & Bazzaz 1999). Differences in the regeneration niches of species arriving at a site can modulate vegetation composition (Grubb 1977). For example, fire-moderated ecosystems include many species that have seed germination triggered by smoke or heat (Gilmour et al. 2000). In the same way, particular seed dispersal strategies can be favoured by site conditions, such as species with buoyant seeds in seasonally flooded forests (Lopez 2001).

Seabird colony environments present a particular combination of environmental conditions characterised by elevated salt and nutrient levels, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus, and the frequent physical disturbance that results from ocean salt spray and seabird activity (Gillham 1956; Ornduff 1965; Mariko et al. 1992; Ellis 2005). These conditions influence vegetation composition at seabird colony sites by enhancing recruitment of species that are tolerant of, or benefit from, these stresses, and/or have seeds that can be dispersed by seabirds. One species associated with seabird colony sites and thought to benefit from seabird activity is *Lepidium oleraceum* (Norton et al. 1997; Norton & de Lange 1999). *Lepidium oleraceum* Sparrm. ex G. Forst. (Brassicaceae; Cook’s scurvy grass) is a threatened New Zealand endemic coastal herb confined to the North Island, northern South Island, and the Kermadec and Chatham Islands (de Lange et al. 2013). *Lepidium oleraceum* and its allied segregate species (see de Lange et al. 2013) are closely associated with seabird colony environments. *Lepidium oleraceum* has been recorded in association with colonies of a range of seabird species, including penguins (e.g. *Eudyptula minor*, *Eudyptes pachyrhynchus* and *Megadyptes antipodes*), gannets (*Morus serrator*), terns (*Sterna* spp.), petrels (*Pterodroma* spp.), gulls (*Larus* spp.) and shags (*Stictocarbo* spp.) (Norton et al. 1997; Norton & de Lange 1999). However, the mechanisms facilitating this association with nesting bird colonies remain poorly understood.

Understanding the dispersal ecology of threatened plants such as *L. oleraceum* is important because management seeks to improve the conservation status of threatened species (Thorsen et al. 2009). Many members of the Brassicaceae family exhibit a sticky mucilaginous seed coating when seeds are moistened (Morton & Hogg 1989). In some of the Brassicaceae family seed mucilage is a mechanism for external seed dispersal by animals, but more often it functions in other ways such as advancing seed maturation, maintaining dormancy, promoting seed hydration or improving seedling growth (Yang et al. 2012). Norton et al. (1997) speculated that seed mucilage may facilitate *L. oleraceum* dispersal through external seed attachment to seabirds. Seed attachment to seabirds has been observed previously (Thorsen et al. 2011), but not experimentally tested. Many seabirds feed at sea for hours or days at a time, often diving below the surface to capture prey (Weimerskirch et al. 2009). Outside the breeding season seabirds are continuously away from the colony site and...
roam widely at sea to feed (Furness & Monaghan 1987). For external dispersal by seabirds to be a viable dispersal strategy, *L. oleraceum* seed must be able to adhere to feathers or feet for extended periods and retain viability following exposure to salt water. In addition, *L. oleraceum* is thought to require guano-rich soils for seed germination (Norton et al. 1997), but this requirement has not been experimentally tested.

We hypothesise that: (1) seabirds facilitate the recruitment of *L. oleraceum* to seabird colony sites by inadvertently transporting seeds that attach to them and (2) germination of seed is stimulated by guano. To test these hypotheses, we asked the following questions:

1. What are the conditions required for a mucilage layer to develop around *L. oleraceum* seed and does this promote long-term adherence?
2. How long can *L. oleraceum* seed soak in salt water and remain viable?
3. Is germination of *L. oleraceum* seed enhanced with increasing concentrations of seabird guano?
4. Is any effect of guano attributable to elevated levels of major nutrients or some other unknown guano attribute?

We addressed these questions with controlled observations and experiments in the laboratory.

**Materials and methods**

**Guano and fertiliser preparation**

Guano was collected from a gannet (*Morus serrator*) colony at Muriwai (36°49’58”S, 174°25’27”E) adjacent to a naturally occurring *L. oleraceum* population on Oaia Island. The guano was sorted to remove feathers and coarse debris; then sieved to separate guano from sand. The guano was then dry-blended to a powder and homogenised through a 1 mm mesh. Inorganic fertiliser Nitrophoska Blue Fertiliser® was blended and homogenised in the same way. The nutrient composition of both guano and fertiliser were analysed by the Landcare Research Environmental Chemistry Laboratory (Palmerston North) following methods described in Blakemore et al. (1987). Seabird guano is known to elevate total soil nitrogen, phosphorus, sodium and potassium (Gillham 1956), so we selected these nutrients for analysis. In addition, we included tests for available nitrogen, nitrate, ammonium, available phosphorus, calcium, magnesium and carbon.

**Seed adhesion**

Mature seed was collected from nursery-grown plants derived from seed originally obtained from a natural *L. oleraceum* population on Karewa Island (37°31’48”S, 176°07’52”E). Seed was considered mature when silicles had changed from green to brown. Seeds of *L. oleraceum* develop a coating of mucilage when immersed in fresh or seawater almost immediately (Fig. 1). Freshwater was used for subsequent mucilage measurements because we considered that rain was the most likely water exposure that *L. oleraceum* seed would experience while still attached to the parent plant in the wild. The size of the seed mucilage layer was determined using a calibrated dissecting microscope. The length and width of 10 seeds, including any surrounding mucilage, was measured before and after soaking in freshwater for 30 minutes. Ten replicates of 10 seeds were each weighed before and after soaking in freshwater for 30 minutes to determine the volume of water imbibed during soaking to quantify the volume of water required for mucilage development.

The duration of soaking required for mucilage development will indicate which circumstances could provide sufficient water exposure to initiate seed mucilage development in the wild. The duration of water exposure required for mucilage development was tested by soaking 10 replicates of 10 seeds each in water for 5 mins, 10 mins, 15 mins or 20 mins. These

Figure 1. *Lepidium oleraceum* seed (a) on parent plant, (b) dry prior to soaking, (c) surrounded with mucilage after 30 min soaking and (d) after mucilage has dried. Pictures b–d were taken at 2x magnification using a dissecting microscope with camera attached.
times were selected to reflect different levels of water exposure from rain showers of increasing duration. After these times, seeds were placed on tissue paper and examined for mucilage. The persistence of the mucilage layer was determined by transferring 10 seeds with mucilage onto dry paper and checking every 10 minutes to see if mucilage was still present. This was repeated for 20 batches of seed. Mucilage was recorded as present if the seed had a transparent ‘halo’ or sticky strings of mucilage were observed when a toothpick was pulled away from the seed surface. After mucilage of seeds had dried, the paper was hung vertically and time until seeds fell was recorded to indicate whether attachment would be long enough for effective seed dispersal.

Twenty seeds were also individually observed to determine a more detailed measure of water exposure required for mucilage development that could then be related to types of water exposure potentially experienced by a wild population including salt spray, rain or contact with a wet seabird. These seeds were immersed, then regularly removed from the water and observed for signs of mucilage. Times to the first observations of partial, surrounding, and complete mucilage were recorded for each seed. These correspond to seeds with mucilage that was present, surrounded the seed and had stopped expanding, respectively. The short observation periods when seeds were temporarily out of the water were not included in this timing because further water absorption, and therefore mucilage development, would not occur when seeds were out of water.

Means and standard errors were calculated for each set of measurements and a one-way ANOVA (model assumptions tested graphically) followed up by Tukey’s test for honestly significant difference was carried out on the duration of soaking (model assumptions tested graphically) and Tukey’s honestly significant difference test.

**Salinity tolerance germination experiment**

Ten seeds per replicate were soaked in either fresh or seawater for durations of 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 weeks with eight replicates of each treatment combination. Freshwater treatments were included to isolate effects of seawater immersion on seed viability from immersion in water per se. The start of soaking was staggered so the end of all soaking times coincided. At the conclusion of the soaking period, the seeds and soaking water were emptied into a cone made of 70 mm Whatman no.1 filter paper to drain off the water. This cone was then filled with freshwater to rinse the seeds, left to drain and the number of seeds that had germinated while soaking recorded. The number of seeds germinated during the soaking period indicated how many seeds would germinate prematurely while attached to a foraging seabird coming in contact with seawater.

Seeds from each replicate were then placed on three sheets of Whatman no. 1 filter paper in a petri dish. A pilot study for the guano germination experiment with the Karewa Island seed had suggested that little germination occurred while in freshwater, but almost all seeds germinated in freshwater with the addition of a 1 gL⁻¹ fertiliser solution. Therefore 8 mL of this fertiliser solution were added to petri dishes, which were then sealed. After 14 days the number of germinated seeds was recorded. Data were analysed with a two-way ANOVA (model assumptions tested graphically) and Tukey’s honestly significant difference test.

**Guano germination experiment**

Seeds were collected for this experiment from approximately ten *L. oleraceum* individuals on Matariki Island (36°51'37"S, 175°24'22"E). Matariki and Karewa Islands are within approximately 100 km of each other, so we considered plants from these populations would be in the same *L. oleraceum* meta-population. We lined petri dishes with seeds that had germinated while soaking recorded. The number of fresh water treatments were considered to isolate effects of seawater exposure on seed viability from immersion in water per se. The start of soaking was staggered so the end of all soaking times coincided. At the conclusion of the soaking period, the seeds and soaking water were emptied into a cone made of 70 mm Whatman no.1 filter paper to drain off the water. This cone was then filled with freshwater to rinse the seeds, left to drain and the number of seeds that had germinated while soaking recorded. The number of seeds germinated during the soaking period indicated how many seeds would germinate prematurely while attached to a foraging seabird coming in contact with seawater.

Means and standard errors were calculated for each set of measurements and a one-way ANOVA (model assumptions tested graphically) followed up by Tukey’s test for honestly significant difference was carried out on the duration of soaking (model assumptions tested graphically) and Tukey’s honestly significant difference test.

**Table 1.** Concentrations of major nutrients in solutions of fertiliser and guano used to test the effect of increasing guano concentrations on germination of *Lepidium oleraceum*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>3.830</td>
<td>7.670</td>
<td>38.340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>4.210</td>
<td>21.050</td>
<td>42.100</td>
<td>210.480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>2.884</td>
<td>14.421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N total</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>3.173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>1.914</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P available</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P total</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>1.735</td>
<td>3.469</td>
<td>17.344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>2.615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>5.808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guano</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentration of guano or fertiliser. Inorganic fertiliser was used alongside guano treatments to test whether guano germination effects were driven by the nutrient component of the guano, or by some other unknown constituent. Eight *L. oleraceum* seeds were added to each petri dish. There were seven replicates of each guano and fertiliser combination. Treatment and bench position were randomly assigned, and each petri dish had eight seeds added before sealing with Parafilm. After 14 days we recorded the number of germinated seeds in each dish, with emergence of the radicle indicating germination.

Data were analysed with a two-way ANOVA using R. We tested model assumptions graphically using residual, quantile-quantile residual, scale-location and leverage-residual plots. Significant differences between fertiliser type and concentrations were compared using Tukey’s honestly significant difference test.

To allow comparison in germination response between the guano and fertiliser solutions and nutrient concentrations in natural soil at wild *L. oleraceum* population sites, we converted the nitrogen component of our solutions from a concentration to percentage of wet weight. We collected soil within wild populations of *L. oleraceum* at Matariki Island, Mahuki Island (36°14’2”S, 175°17’53”E) and Stephens Island (40°40’2”S, 173°59’60”E). Two to four samples were collected per island, depending on the spatial distribution of each population. Samples were collected in May, August and June for Matariki, Mahuki and Stephens Islands, respectively. We sampled soil using cores 1.9 cm in diameter and 10 cm deep (equivalent to root depth) within 0.5 m of at least 15 *L. oleraceum* individuals on each island. Samples were combined to form a pooled sample for each site and sealed into plastic bags and kept refrigerated (Markwell & Daugherty 2003) until they were analysed for nutrient and water content. Analyses were carried out by the Landcare Research Environmental Chemistry Laboratory (Palmerston North) following methods described in Blakemore et al. (1987). Percentage nitrogen of soil wet weight was calculated using the following formula:

\[ N_{W} = \frac{N_{D}}{M + 100} \]

where \( N_{W} \) is percentage nitrogen of wet weight, \( N_{D} \) is percentage nitrogen of dry weight and \( M \) is water content as a percentage of the dry weight.

Results

**Seed mucilage**

Mean thickness of seed mucilage was 0.24 ± 0.03 mm (mean ± 1 SE; Fig. 1) and mean volume of water imbibed was 0.977 ± 0.044 μL after soaking in water for 30 min. This is equivalent to an increase in seed width of 54% ± 8%, a seed length increase of 23% ± 3% and a seed volume increase of 211.7% ± 38.0%. Mean period of immersion in water required for mucilage development was 5.3 ± 0.6 seconds for partial mucilage, 19.3 ± 2.3 seconds for surrounding mucilage and 50.9 ± 3.8 seconds for complete mucilage. Duration of soaking was a significant predictor of complete mucilage occurrence (Fig. 2) and there was a small but significant increase in the number of seeds with complete mucilage after soaking for 15 minutes (95 ± 2%) compared with 5 mins (80 ± 3%). The time taken for mucilage to dry after soaking ranged from 1–55 mins, with a mean of 21.3 ± 0.9 mins. This did not differ significantly with soaking duration. After a week, all except one of the 200 seeds remained stuck to a vertical sheet of paper, the single seed that fell off did so after 2.5 days. Seed attachment was 75% after 9 months and 50% after 24 months.

**Salinity germination effects**

The proportion of seeds that germinated during the soaking period for freshwater treatments increased with soaking duration (47.5 ± 6% to 85 ± 4%), but no seeds germinated for the controls or during the soaking period for seawater (Fig. 3a, ANOVA: \( F_{(1,66)} = 8.837, P < 0.001 \)). There was a greater rate of germination for seeds that had previously been soaked in freshwater (90.1 ± 3.1%) compared to those that had previously been soaked in seawater (44.1 ± 4.9%) after 14 days. Soaking in freshwater doubled germination compared to the uns soaked controls (45.6 ± 3.1%; Fig. 3b). Germination of seeds soaked in seawater and unsoaked controls were equivalent. Both type of water (\( F_{(1,66)} = 12.6, P < 0.001 \)) and duration of soaking (\( F_{(4,26)} = 4.7, P = 0.002 \)) were significant in the two-way ANOVA, but their interaction was not (\( F_{(4,66)} = 0.7, P = 0.61 \)). Pairwise Tukey’s comparisons showed significantly different germination success between water types (\( P < 0.001 \)).

**Guano germination effects**

In contrast to our pilot experiment, there was no significant difference in germination rate (50–75% of seeds germinating per replicate) for concentrations applied from zero to 1 gNL\(^{-1}\) for both guano and fertiliser (Fig. 4). There was significantly lower germination at the 5 gNL\(^{-1}\) treatment (mean of 1.5 seeds germinated for guano and none for fertiliser). The two-way ANOVA showed the concentration was significant (\( F_{(4,66)} = 55.912, P < 0.001 \)), but the type of fertiliser was not (\( F_{(1,66)} = 0.007, P = 0.932 \)). This outcome was further supported by the pairwise Tukey’s comparisons for which the only significant differences (\( P < 0.001 \)) were between 5 gNL\(^{-1}\) and the other concentrations (Fig. 4).
Figure 3. Mean germination (± SE) of *Lepidium oleraceum* seed (a) immediately after soaking in freshwater or seawater and (b) 14 days later. Treatments not sharing the same letter are significantly different (Tukey HSD, *P* < 0.05).

Figure 4. Mean seed germination (± SE) of *Lepidium oleraceum* after exposure to different concentrations of guano or inorganic fertiliser. Treatments not sharing the same letter are significantly different (Tukey HSD, *P* < 0.05).

Discussion

Seed mucilage

Sticky seed mucilage developed around *L. oleraceum* seeds after less than one minute of immersion in water, and once out of water only remained sticky for an average of 21 minutes. However, seed attachment to a dry surface lasted for at least two years for 50% of the seeds. Thus rain or salt spray could allow seeds to become sticky for a 20 minute window of opportunity, during which seeds could attach to a bird’s foot, leg or feather to be dispersed. We observed that dry seeds would readily attach to moistened surfaces and then become sticky, so wet birds may provide another attachment opportunity. *Lepidium oleraceum* frequently occurs at seabird burrow entrances, is used as nesting material by surface-nesting species, and is associated with sites frequented for roosting, landing or take-off (see de Lange et al. 2013). The distribution of *L. oleraceum* within seabird colony sites suggests seabird contact with *L. oleraceum* seeds is highly likely.

Dispersal distances of seeds externally attached to animals depends on duration of attachment, and the speed and direction of the animal’s movement (Bakker et al. 1996). Dispersal of *L. oleraceum* seed by seabirds may potentially occur over long distances because it is tolerant of at least 4 weeks of immersion in seawater, and adhesion is regularly persistent for months to years. This is sufficient time for a seabird to fly the tens of kilometres typical of a foraging trip (Weimerskirch et al. 2009). Unlike *Pisonia grandis* (Nyctaginaceae) seeds, which lose stickiness after 3 days in seawater (Burger 2005), *L. oleraceum* seed mucilage and stickiness was maintained after a month soaking in seawater, so prolonged or multiple exposures to water would not compromise seed attachment. Removal by preening birds or by friction during exit or entry of burrows is more likely to dislodge seed, which would result in a favourable dispersal outcome. Seabirds display high site fidelity, typically returning to the same site between foraging journeys (Furness & Monaghan 1987), therefore dispersal to new sites may be infrequent. Juvenile seabirds prospecting for a breeding site visit non-natal colonies (Péron & Grémillet 2013), which could provide opportunities for dispersal to multiple sites. This method of external directed dispersal may be an infrequent occurrence because it requires multiple sequential events for adhesion of seed to a dispersing seabird
and then dislodging the seed at an appropriate site, but this does not preclude it as an effective dispersal method (Carlquist 1967). Further research is required to test the likelihood and duration of attachment of *L. oleraceum* seeds to seabirds in a natural situation, including examining the genetic structure of populations to determine gene flow frequencies that would indicate inter-population dispersal frequencies.

**Salinity germination effects**

*Lepidium oleraceum* seed germinated readily in freshwater, but not seawater. This is consistent with other studies of germination of halophytes in which germination was suppressed at high salinities but seeds were able to subsequently germinate in freshwater (Ungar 1996; Debez et al. 2004; Qu et al. 2008). Imbibition of freshwater is required before germination but is inhibited by seawater due to the low relative water concentration in the presence of such a high salt concentration (Debez et al. 2004). This may be a mechanism to prevent premature germination in the ocean during dispersal.

*Lepidium oleraceum* seed germination success increased twofold for seeds soaked in fresh compared to seawater or unsoaked controls. However, germination of *L. oleraceum* seeds continuously immersed in saltwater was equivalent to unsoaked controls, so 4 weeks of seawater exposure did not compromise seed viability. *Lepidium oleraceum* seed retains viability after soaking in seawater for longer than *Pisonia grandis*, a seabird-associated tree with sticky seeds dispersed by seabirds. *Pisonia grandis* seed did not germinate after 12 days immersed in seawater, but 11% germination did occur after 28 days of 30 minutes per day soaking in seawater as a simulation of attachment to a seabird (Burger 2005). Of the seabirds that occur in association with *L. oleraceum* (Norton et al. 1997; Norton & de Lange 1999), different feeding behaviours would result in different seawater exposures. During the summer breeding season seabirds visit land regularly, so seed attachment is more likely to occur then than during winter when they are at sea for months (Furness & Monaghan 1987). Fruiting of *L. oleraceum* broadly coincides with the seabird breeding season (September to July; de Lange et al. 2013). Maximum exposure to seawater during a single foraging trip in the breeding season would result from complete immersion for the entire duration of a foraging trip. Continual immersion during a foraging trip is exhibited by little blue penguins (*Eudyptula minor*), which have a mean trip duration of 14.8 ± 4.1 hours (Berlincourt & Arnould 2014). Other species have shorter feeding trips that also involve periods of flight without seawater exposure. One species with shorter feeding trips is the red-billed gull (*Larus novaehollandiae*) for which 77% of feeding trips recorded were less than two hours in duration (Tasker & Mills 1981) and included flight time. Seed seawater exposure would also depend on the part of the seabird to which it attached. Australasian gannet (*Morus serrator*) foraging trips involve bouts of short dives interspersed with periods of resting on the surface of the water which represent 70–80% of the foraging trip time (Machovsky-Capuska et al. 2014). Therefore, seeds attached to areas immersed during resting, such as the legs and abdomen, would experience seawater exposure for up to 30 hours in seawater, whereas the upper parts of the body would have shorter seawater immersion. Our results suggest seed of *L. oleraceum* would easily survive seawater exposure during feeding trips for any of these species. Seed mucilage can aid germination in saline conditions (Yang et al. 2010); it would be interesting to investigate whether the mucilage layer helps maintain seed viability while soaking in seawater.

Germination of seeds soaked in freshwater was higher (91.0 ± 3%) than the unsoaked seed (45.6 ± 3%). Similar experiments with seeds of other seabird dispersed species have also found lower germination in controls than those put in salt or fresh water for some species (Burger 2005; Aoyama et al. 2012). The similarity in germination rates after the soaking of the one week freshwater treatment (47.5 ± 6%) compared to controls at the end of the experiment after 2 weeks on moistened filter paper (45.6 ± 3%) suggests soaking in freshwater may give a head-start to imbibition.

**Guano germination effects**

Results of this study did not show strong evidence that seabird guano is directly required for germination of *L. oleraceum* (despite our pilot experiment being somewhat indicative of this link) and in fact concentrated guano can inhibit germination. The inhibitory concentrations of guano were over two orders of magnitude higher in nitrogen concentration than soil at natural populations. The inhibition response would prevent germination in soil with unfavourable elevated nutrients. To support our finding of germination inhibition by elevated nutrients, we recorded the spatial distribution of all *L. oleraceum* individuals at each wild population visited, but there were insufficient seedlings present to draw conclusions about seedling distribution patterns. Grant-Hoffman et al. (2010) suggested that increased seedling emergence of species at seabird colony sites compared to non-seabird sites may be due to elevated nutrients. Perhaps for *L. oleraceum* any positive nutrient effect occurs after seed germination because other research indicates guano enrichment to be an important factor at later life stages (Dale 2013).

The similar inhibited germination response to fertiliser and guano at concentrations greater than 1 gNL⁻¹ suggests the inhibitory component had a similar concentration in both guano and fertiliser. Therefore nitrogen, available phosphorus and magnesium are all potentially inhibitory nutrients (Table 1). Nitrogen toxicity is more often problematic than elevated phosphorus in seabird colony environments (Ellis et al. 2011) and was 10 times greater in guano than found associated with natural soils supporting populations of *L. oleraceum*. Nitrogen toxicity from ammonia, ammonium and nitrite have previously been observed to reduce germination of seed (Cooke 1962; Court et al. 1962; Barker et al. 1970; Westwood & Foy 1999). Ammonium and nitrate were at least an order of magnitude higher in fertiliser than guano (Table 1). Fruiting of *L. oleraceum* broadly coincides with the seabird breeding season (September to July; de Lange et al. 2013). Maximum exposure to seawater during a single foraging trip in the breeding season would result from complete immersion for the entire duration of a foraging trip. Continual immersion during a foraging trip is exhibited by little blue penguins (*Eudyptula minor*), which have a mean trip duration of 14.8 ± 4.1 hours (Berlincourt & Arnould 2014). Other species have shorter feeding trips that also involve periods of flight without seawater exposure. One species with shorter feeding trips is the red-billed gull (*Larus novaehollandiae*) for which 77% of feeding trips recorded were less than two hours in duration (Tasker & Mills 1981) and included flight time. Seed seawater exposure would also depend on the part of the seabird to which it attached. Australasian gannet (*Morus serrator*) foraging trips involve bouts of short dives interspersed with periods of resting on the surface of the water which represent 70–80% of the foraging trip time (Machovsky-Capuska et al. 2014). Therefore, seeds attached to areas immersed during resting, such as the legs and abdomen, would experience seawater exposure for up to 30 hours in seawater, whereas the upper parts of the body would have shorter seawater immersion. Our results suggest seed of *L. oleraceum* would easily survive seawater exposure during feeding trips for any of these species. Seed mucilage can aid germination in saline conditions (Yang et al. 2010); it would be interesting to investigate whether the mucilage layer helps maintain seed viability while soaking in seawater.

Plant nurseries or others involved in propagation of *L. oleraceum* seeking to maximise germination should ensure the concentrations of major nutrients in the growth substrate are below the inhibitory concentrations of the 5 gNL⁻¹ treatments in this study (Table 1). Germinating seed in freshwater without additional nutrients is effective, although after germination additional nutrients improve growth (Dale 2013).
Conclusion

The ability of *L. oleraceum* seed to retain viability despite extended immersion in salt water, coupled with an inability to germinate while in seawater and the long attachment time of seed mucilage to surfaces indicate external dispersal by seabirds is a possible dispersal mechanism. However, elevated nutrient concentrations in seabird colony soils do not promote *L. oleraceum* germination. Therefore, seabirds likely act as a facilitator of initial recruitment of *L. oleraceum* individuals at a site through dispersal, but not as a result of guano deposition.
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